Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form

(To be completed by the teacher and administrator based on cumulative evidence)

Special Education Department Components of Professional Practice Rubric

Domain 5a Rubric: Demonstrating Effective Case Management Skills

Component	Standard		Target Lev	vel of Performance		Examples of Evidence
		Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
5a.1: Paperwork	Standard #5 - Accountability	Unsatisfactory Timelines are not met and correct procedures for paperwork are not consistently followed. Numerous grammatical errors are made and the content is not readily understood. Records do not reflect adequate understanding of special education process. Records are disorganized and do not maintain an adequate record	Basic The majority of timelines are met. Procedures are generally followed. Wording in paperwork is adequate but content is not consistently clear. Records reflect a basic understanding of the Special Education process. Records are generally organized and provide basic documentation of planning and decision making.	Proficient Paperwork completed within timelines; correct procedures are followed for paperwork; Effective wording used in paperwork (i.e. grammatically correct and understandable); Maintains organized and legible records according to CSD guidelines; records accurately reflect planning and decision making.	Distinguished All timelines are met unless there is a significant extenuating circumstance. Content and organization of records and paperwork reflect in-depth knowledge of students and their needs, services, progress and of the special process.	-Special Education forms -Data base print outs -Special Education student records
F.:1 (B:		of planning and decision making.				

Evidence: (Briefly document evidence, if any, that supports this area)

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 2)

5a.2: Monitoring Fyidence: (Br	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #5 - Accountability	Student progress is not tracked or documented. Educator has no system for tracking time lines or other student data.	Student progress is documented according to the IEP but educator does not take the initiative in making adjustments, except on the required annual basis. Educator maintains a list of timelines for special education requirements but not for attendance or discipline.	Gauges / assesses effectiveness of IEP and facilitates identifying the need for adjustments; tracks timelines for eligibility, annual reviews, three year comprehensive evaluations, attendance, and discipline.	Student progress reports are directly linked to the IEP and reflect in-depth understanding of student needs and growth. Educator takes the initiative when appropriate, in suggesting adjustments to student programs. An effective system is in place for tracking all timelines and student data and teacher is responsive to data requested and / or provided by administrator.	Education forms
Evidence: (Br	iefly document ev	ridence, if any, that su	upports this area)	1		
5a.3: Development of Evaluation Plans and IEPs	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #3 - Colleagueship	Educator rarely uses assessment information. IEP's do not match student needs. Meetings are disorganized and do not result in effective planning. Communication with relevant parties is rare of inappropriate. Confidentiality issues may exist.	IEPs and Evaluation Plans include assessment information but connections to planning are basic. Educator is unsure about student needs and does not take the initiative to learn more. Meetings results in IEPs and Evaluation Plans that meet requirements but do not reflect an in-depth understanding of the situation. Educator communicates on a regular basis and is	Uses assessment information for planning IEP programs; facilitates the development of a program that meets the student's needs and "knows the student"; Knows the learning style of the student; Organizes and facilitates effective meetings regarding student progress, and the development of evaluation plans and IEPs and the determination of eligibility for special education services; Communication with school staff, parents, families, students, service providers, is clear, accessible, effective, timely, confidential and sensitive.	Educator displays extensive knowledge of assessments and their use in planning and easily identifies the need for additional information. The educator's communication with relevant partied reflects a high level of professionalism and relevant parties seek out and depend upon the educator for his / her guidance and expertise.	-Evaluation Plans and reports -IEPs -Observation -Letters and memos to relevant parties -Contact logs -Voluntary feedback from relevant parties
Evidence: (Br	iefly document ev	ridence, if any, that su	appropriate in those interactions and confidential.			

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 3)

Component	Standard		Domain 5b Rubric: Due Process Target Level of Performance			Examples of Evidence
5b. 1: Knowledge and Implementation of Special Education Laws and Regulations	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #5 - Accountability	Unsatisfactory Educator is unaware of basic laws and regulations and does not demonstrate initiative in increasing knowledge.	Basic Educator knows there are regulations to follow but often "forgets". Refers to regulation handbook but may need assistance in applying regulations.	Proficient Timelines and procedures are consistent with state and federal law and regulations.	Educator independently seeks resources to stay current with laws and regulations. Is able to articulate the connections between law / regulations and timelines / procedures and therefore is effective in	-Applicable coursework -Special Education student records -Observation
F:1 (P: a		e, if any, that supports			explaining due process to others.	

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 4)

Component		Domain SC K	ubric: Testing and	Assessment		
	Standard		Target Level o	f Performance		Examples of Evidence
		Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
5c.1 : Planning for Testing and Assessment	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #3 - Colleagueship	Does not know what measures assess what areas. Does not seek consultation regarding assessment.	Has knowledge of basic measures (intellectual, achievement) but not beyond a few examples. Knows who to ask for assistance to ensure adhering to the process.	Knows what measures assess what areas (skills, disabilities). Has knowledge of resources (personnel, consultants, staff) – adheres to due process.	Demonstrates extensive knowledge of assessments, their applications and their relationship to disabilities.	-Evaluation plans and reports -Observation -Testing reports by special educator -Applicable coursework
5c.2 : Administering Festing	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge	Has no training or skills in any test administration	Has had workshops in specific tests administration and,	Follows test protocol; had training;	Educator has acquired in-depth training with	-Observatior -Applicable course work
Measures		AND/OR administers tests in an inappropriate environment, displays ineffective interactions with student, does not follow test protocols. ace, if any, that suppor	with supervision, can evaluate students in a reliable way resulting in valid findings.	interaction with students is effective; proper setting.	assessment. Educator has above-average repertoire of assessments s/he can administer with a high level of expertise.	-Evaluation reports by special educator -Testing protocols -Student interview

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 5)

5c.3: Interpreting Data	Standard #2 — Professional Knowledge Standard #5 - Accountability	Does not understand test scores / results and / or misinterprets results frequently.	Understands scores/ results but will need assistance to relate them to student performance.	Understands scores / results and how they relate to student performance.	Educator is able to explain scores and results to others and readily apply them to student performance and planning.	-Applicable coursework - Observation during the meeting -IEP's - Evaluation plans and reports.
Evidence: (Briefly	y document evidenc	e, if any, that suppor	ts this area)			reportor
5c.4: Report Writing	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #3 - Colleagueship	Educator's reports do not demonstrate and understanding of the material.	Educator's reports provide basic information accurately but do not provide an analysis of the data in a useful way.	Reports are user friendly, grammatically correct, understandable; information is accurate and recommendations are appropriate and timely, effectively integrating all relevant information.	Educator's reports reflect indepth analysis of findings.	-Testing reports
Evidence: (Briefly	y document evidenc	e, if any, that suppor	ts this area)			,

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 6)

5c.5:	Standard #2 -	Educator is unable	Educator is able to	Educator explains	Educator	-Observation
Communicating	Professional	to explain testing	explain basic	testing results in a	demonstrates the	during
Results	Knowledge	and assessment	concepts of testing	clear manner to	ability to	meeting
	Standard #3 -	results at even the	results but has	parents and team	accurately	-Parent
	Colleagueship	most basic level.	difficulty	members. Is able	explain testing	interview
	Standard #4 -	Educator explains	responding to	to respond to basic	results with ease	
	Advocacy	testing results in	additional	and commonly	and to respond	
		an insensitive	questions that	asked questions.	knowledgably	
		manner and/or in a	require more	Presents in a	when parent and	
		way that	knowledge of	professional	team members	
		misinforms the	measures; scoring	manner.	have questions	
		parents and team.	or		about the testing	
			recommendations.		data.	
Evidence: (Briefly	document evidenc	e, if any, that support	is this area)			

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 7)

Component	Standard	Domain 5d Rubric:		of Performance		Examples of Evidence
		Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
5d.1: Daily Management	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #3 - Colleagueship	Educator is unaware of what assistants are doing on a daily basis. Educator is unavailable and does not provide supervision. Educator does not display respectful interactions with assistants.	Educator knows assistants' basic schedules and assignments but may not be aware on a daily basis about their activities. Can provide basic supervision but does not provide training.	Has a thorough knowledge of schedules and assignments. Is accessible, provides interactive modeling for assistants; meets regularly for supervision / training; has respectful interactions with	Educator demonstrates skill in developing schedules and assignments for assistants. Provides professional development opportunities on a regular basis.	-Assistant schedules -Observation of supervisio meetings -Assistant interviews -Memos to assistants

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 8)

Component 5e.1: Obtaining	Standard					
Obtaining		Component Standard Target Level of Performance				Examples of Evidence
Obtaining		Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
Consultation	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #3 - Colleagueship	Educator ignores request for consultation and / or does not follow-up for lack of knowledge or for lack of initiative. Does not independently recognize need for consultation.	Educator pursues requests for consultation but may have difficulty locating resources. Recognizes need for consultation and requests assistance in procuring.	Responsible to team requests; takes initiative to obtain needed consultation and is knowledgeable regarding resources.	Demonstrates skill in the utilization of a consultant or other resource to insure effective and efficient consultations.	-Meeting note / minutes -Voluntary feedback fron consultant -Observation of meetings -Letters / memos - Applicable courses
5e.2: Providing	Standard #2 – Professional	Does not have the knowledge or skill	Educator responds to requests for	Responsive to team requests;	Educator is skillful in	-Meeting note
Consultation	Knowledge Standard #3 – Colleagueship Standard #4 - Advocacy	to provide consultation or refuses to provide such support to relevant parties.	consultation but may not have adequate knowledge or skill to be helpful without assistance.	recognizes need for and initiates consultation, and provides in a collegial / respectful manner.	identifying needs for consultation prior to team requests and is viewed as an expert who will make every effort to obtain support s/he may not be able to provide.	-Memos / letters -Voluntary feedback fron colleague

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 9)

5e.3:	Standard #2 -	Educator does not	Educator	Effectively	Educator emerges	-Observations
Collaborating	Professional	interact with team	understands the	integrates input	as a leader on	of meetings
	Knowledge	members or	need for	and information	teams working to	-Meeting
	Standard #3 -	resources or is	collaboration but	from the team and	plan for students.	minutes / notes
	Colleagueship	ineffective in	may require	other resources.;	Readily	-IEPs / other
		those interactions.	assistance in	effectively	facilitates the	paperwork
			integration of	communicates	synthesis of data	
			information.	(timely,	and guides teams	
			Communication is	professional, and	toward	
			sincere but may be	relevant.)	collaborative	
			lacking depth /		decision making.	
			expertise.			
Evidence: (Brieff	y document evidenc	e, if any, that support	is this area)			

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 10)

Professional Knowledge Accommodations Advocacy Advocacy Advocacy Standard #4 - School Curriculum, State Standards for all Students Standard #5 - Accountability Accountability State standards State standards Accountability Accountability Accountability State Standards Accountability Accou		Domaii	n 5f Rubric: Know	wledge of and Skills S	specific to Qualifying I	Disabilities	
Standard #2 - Professional Standard #2 - Advocacy Educator is not curriculum, State Standards for all Students Standard #5 - Accountability Accountabil	Component	Standard					
Professional Knowledge Accommodations Advocacy Advocacy Standard #4 - Advocacy Advocacy Standard #4 - School Curriculum, State Standards for all Students Standard #5 - Accountability Accountabilit			Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
5f.2 School Curriculum, State Standard #5 - Accountability Standard #5 - Accountability State Standards For all Students Standard #5 - Accountability State Standards Accountability Standard #5 - Accountability State Standards Accountability Standard #5 - Accountability Educator has knowledge curriculum and state standards and refers to appropriate documents and eligibility decisions and IEP development. Educator has knowledge curriculum and state standards sand refers to appropriate levels of "state standards". Educator ensures that the teams consider school curriculum and state standards in throughout the special education process and has extensive knowledge of both.	Services , Goals, Objectives,	Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	reflect students accurately and / or are not relevant to	appropriate but there may be missing connections of disability to needs, services; progress and / or not clearly defined levels of	disabilities and unique education needs, levels of performance, services and	blueprints for each student, guiding mainstream teachers and specialist in providing FAPE for special education	-Student / parent
School Curriculum, State Standards for all Students Professional Knowledge Standard #5 - Accountability Accountability Accountability Accountability Professional Knowledge Standard #5 - Accountability Accountability							
Evidence: (Briefly document evidence, if any, that supports this area)	School Curriculum, State Standards	Professional Knowledge Standard #5 -	aware of or disregards school curriculum and	knowledge curriculum and state standards and refers to appropriate documents and eligibility decisions and IEP	and IEPs reflect individual school curriculum and/or appropriate levels of	that the teams consider school curriculum and state standards throughout the special education process and has extensive knowledge of	-Evaluations Plans and reports -Observation of

(Special Education Department Teacher Summative Assessment Form, page 11)

5f.3:	Standard #2 -	Does not have an	Educator has some	Has knowledge to	Educator has	-Evaluation Plans
valuation	Professional	understanding of	understanding of	identify when student	extensive	and Reports
lans and	Knowledge	qualifying	qualifying	may have a disability	knowledge	-Observation of
Reports		disabilities and/or	disabilities and	and need for	regarding all	meetings
		their relationship	knows how to	evaluation. (Child	qualifying	-Applicable
		to assessment	increase that	Find); Frames and	disabilities and	coursework
		measures,	knowledge. May	phrases questions to	uses the	
		evaluator	require significant	obtains desired	regulations manual	
		expertise,	advance preparations as each "new"	information/data for evaluation plan.	as a procedural guide. Has in-	
		eligibility regulations.	disability is	Demonstrates	depth	
		regulations.	encountered in	knowledge appropriate	understanding of	
			practice.	assessment tools and	evaluation issues	
			practice.	evaluator; Has ability	for qualifying	
				to guide team through	disabilities and	
				eligibility decision-	demonstrates	
				making; Has ability to	expertise in	
				synthesize	helping teams	
				data/information	make relevant	
				obtained through	evaluation,	
				evaluations;	eligibility and	
				Demonstrates ability to make	recommendation decisions.	
				recommendations	decisions.	
				appropriate to		
				disabilities		
Evidence: (Brief	ly document evide	ence, if any, that supp	ports this area)			
Evidence: (Brief	ly document evide	lence, if any, that supp	ports this area)			
	ly document evide	Educator does not	ports this area) Educator plans for	Educator ensures that	Educator will lead	-IEPs
5f.4:					Educator will lead the team through	-IEPs -Student
of.4: Integrating Students,	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge	Educator does not consider inclusion in	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of	the team through and analysis of the	-Student schedules
of.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making	Educator plans for reintegration but may	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to	-Student schedules -Meeting
5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes
5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent
5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview
5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
Evidence: (Brief 5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for Inclusion	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview
5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the student needs and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations for inclusionary	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
of.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 -	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
of.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for nclusion	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 - Advocacy	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make inclusion decisions.	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the student needs and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations for inclusionary practices specific	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
of.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for nclusion	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 - Advocacy	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary practices.	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make inclusion decisions.	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the student needs and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations for inclusionary practices specific	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
5f.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for Inclusion	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 - Advocacy	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary practices.	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make inclusion decisions.	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the student needs and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations for inclusionary practices specific	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
of.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for nclusion	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 - Advocacy	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary practices.	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make inclusion decisions.	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the student needs and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations for inclusionary practices specific	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable
of.4: Integrating Students, Advocating for nclusion	Standard #2 – Professional Knowledge Standard #4 - Advocacy	Educator does not consider inclusion in decision-making process. Educator does not advocate for inclusion or offer support for inclusionary practices.	Educator plans for reintegration but may allow others to make inclusion decisions.	Educator ensures that the IEP clearly states student level of inclusion. Educator advocates for inclusion, offering support and recommendations for inclusion, based on the student needs and	the team through and analysis of the school's ability to serve the student in the mainstream and provide researched recommendations for inclusionary practices specific	-Student schedules -Meeting notes/minutes -Student/parent interview -Applicable

A discussion based on the teacher summative assessment took place. This form completes the summative assessment. The teacher's signature represents that they received a copy and does not represent agreement

Teacher's signature:	Date:
Administrator's signature:	Date: